

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,134 | 11/21/2003 | Michael Marx | MARX0103PA | 1133 |
| 27256 75 | 590 06/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. | | | NGUYEN, CHI Q | |
| 28333 TELEGRAPH RD. SUITE 250 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034 | | | 3635 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/707,134 | MARX, MICHAEL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Chi Q Nguyen | 3635 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relefund for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | · · | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO_413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,134

Art Unit: 3635

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

- I. Claims 1-35, drawn to an anchor device for coupling an external device to a surface of a deck, classified in class 52, subclass 698.
- II. Claims 36-47, drawn to a system for straightening a frame of an automotive vehicle, classified in class 72, subclass 705.
- III. Claims 48-50, drawn to method for operating frame rack, classified in class 72, subclass 367.1

The inventions I, II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions are distinct if either of the following can be shown:

- (1) that the process for using the product as claimed can be practice with another materially different product or
- (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

For instant case, the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as using hammer manually pouches out the unstraight portion. The inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as unable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately useable.

In the instant case, the invention II could be used a bracket to hold or couple with a frame deck.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,134

Art Unit: 3635

A telephone call was made to request an oral election on 6/2/2005, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

6/2/05

Naoko Slack Primary Examiner